Improving Signal-to-Noise Performance for DNA Translocation in Solid-State Nanopores at MHz Bandwidths

Adrian Balan,† Bartholomeus Machielse, † David Niedzwiecki, † Jianxun Lin,‡ Peijie Ong,‡ Rebecca Engelke,§ Kenneth L. Shepard,*‡ and Marija Drndić*‡

†Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, United States
‡Department of Electrical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, New York, 10027, United States

ABSTRACT: DNA sequencing using solid-state nanopores is, in part, impeded by the relatively high noise and low bandwidth of the current state-of-the-art translocation measurements. In this Letter, we measure the ion current noise through sub 10 nm thick Si₃N₄ nanopores at bandwidths up to 1 MHz. At these bandwidths, the input-referred current noise is dominated by the amplifier’s voltage noise acting across the total capacitance at the amplifier input. By reducing the nanopore chip capacitance to the 1–5 pF range by adding thick insulating layers to the chip surface, we are able to transition to a regime in which input-referred current noise (∼117–150 pA rms at 1 MHz in 1 M KCl solution) is dominated by the effects of the input capacitance of the amplifier itself. The signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) reported here range from 15 to 20 at 1 MHz for dsDNA translocations through nanopores with diameters from 4 to 8 nm with applied voltages from 200 to 800 mV. Further advances in bandwidth and SNR will require new amplifier designs that reduce both input capacitance and input-referred amplifier noise.
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Recently, there has been significant progress in the use of both biological and solid-state nanopores for biomolecule detection. Nanopore measurements are made by driving a biomolecule through a nanopore and analyzing the temporary reduction in transmembrane ion current caused by the restriction of the available pore volume while the molecule translocates. Nanopore-based sequencing operates by measuring the distinct current reductions from individual DNA bases with different sizes as they translocate through the pore. Biological nanopores have demonstrated de novo sequencing of small DNA segments, using a molecular enzyme to lower translocation speed. DNA ratcheting through an α-hemolysin nanopore at speeds of 2.5–40 bases per second has been achieved using a similar technique. Despite signal levels that are often more than an order of magnitude higher, solid-state nanopores without the benefit of enzyme ratcheting have only demonstrated differentiation between short (30 bases) homopolymers with thin silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) nanopores at 500 kHz bandwidths. The typical DNA translocation speed of ∼20 megabases per second in these experiment means that a 20-fold increase in the measurement speed (bandwidths in excess of 20 MHz) will be required to achieve single-base resolution.

The primary barrier to achieving free-running nanopore DNA sequencing is obtaining a high SNR at the required bandwidths—as higher bandwidth requirements increase noise and decrease SNR, making it impossible to discriminate between DNA bases. There are two distinct SNRs that are often referenced in the context of solid-state nanopores; each is associated with a different signal level. The first, \( \text{SNR}_{\text{ionic}} = \frac{\Delta I_{\text{ionic}}}{I_{\text{rms}}} \), uses as the signal level the total change in the ion current \( \Delta I_{\text{ionic}} \) when DNA passes through the nanopore (Figure 1d), where \( I_{\text{rms}} \) is the root-mean-square (rms) input-referred current noise of the nanopore. The second SNR metric, \( \text{SNR}_{\text{contrast}} = \frac{\Delta I_{\text{contrast}}}{I_{\text{rms}}} \), uses as the signal level \( \Delta I_{\text{contrast}} \) the contrast between ion signals from different nucleotides (Figure 1d).

Increasing \( \Delta I_{\text{ionic}} \) and \( \Delta I_{\text{contrast}} \) can be achieved by decreasing the thickness of nanopore sensors; it has long been recognized that decreasing \( I_{\text{rms}} \) requires reducing the total capacitance of the nanopore device. Above 10 kHz, the dominant noise is the interaction of the amplifier’s voltage noise with the total capacitance at the input, which includes the chip capacitance \( C_{\text{chip}} \), the capacitance seen at the input of the amplifier \( C_{\text{amp}} \), and any interconnect capacitance from the amplifier to the nanopore \( C_{w} \). In this case, \( I_{\text{rms}} \) at a bandwidth \( B \) is given by

\[
I_{\text{rms}}(B) = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{n}} \right) B^{2/3} (C_{\text{chip}} + C_{w} + C_{\text{amp}}) \nu_{s}
\]

(1)

where \( \nu_{s} \) is the input-referred voltage noise of the amplifier and \( C_{\text{chip}} \) values typically range from ∼50 pF to 370 pF in most nanopore measurements. \( C_{\text{chip}} \) can be further reduced by painting a thick silicone elastomer onto the chip surface.
Membrane capacitances as low as 6 pF have been demonstrated by this method, achieving $I_{\text{rms}} \sim 15$ pArms over a 1 MHz bandwidth for a custom amplifier with $v_n = 5$ nV/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. Other efforts to reduce $C_{\text{chip}}$ included patterning insulators onto the chip surface and transferring the Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane onto a PDMS substrate, resulting in $I_{\text{rms}} \sim 30$ pArms measured at 10 kHz bandwidth and $I_{\text{rms}} \sim 15$ pArms at 100 kHz, respectively. The signal-to-noise ratio and signal amplitude have also been studied for DNA translocation through ~10 nm diameter glass nanocapillaries, yielding SNR $\sim 25$ at 10 kHz bandwidth.

In this Letter, we exploit glass bonding to lower $C_{\text{chip}}$ to ~1.5 pF for sub 10 nm thick silicon nitride nanopores, achieving SNR$_{\text{ionic}}$ of up to 20 at 1 MHz bandwidth for a standalone amplifier with $C_{\text{amp}} = 20$ pF and $v_n = 1$ nV/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. These nanopores are used to detect translocation of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) in 1 M KCl solution. The lowest measured $I_{\text{rms}} \sim 115$ pA$_{\text{rms}}$ at 1 MHz bandwidth is near the amplifier open-headstage limit of ~110 pA$_{\text{rms}}$, indicating that further improvements require new amplifier designs that reduce input capacitance, amplifier input-referred voltage noise, or both.

Figure 1a shows a schematic diagram of the Si$_3$N$_4$ chips used for making nanopores improved from the design described elsewhere. Briefly, nanopores are formed in sub 10 nm thin regions of suspended 50 nm thick Si$_3$N$_4$ membranes with side lengths of ~10−40 μm. The membranes are supported by 5 μm of silicon dioxide on 500 μm of n-type doped silicon. Figure 1b is the optical image of the nanopore chip with the top glass layer clearly visible. In this case, a 100 μm thick glass plate with a laser-drilled 50 μm diameter hole is bonded to the top surface of the pore substrate. A silicon elastomer is painted on top of the glass and over the whole membrane-facing side of the chip (~25 mm$^2$ area), leaving an exposed ~0.25 mm$^2$ area around the nanopore. The glass placement and bonding procedure is described in the Methods. Figure 1c is a TEM image of one of these pores with diameter ~7 nm. We present ion current data from nanopore diameters from 4 to 8 nm.

The ion-current measurement setup is shown in Figure 1a. The nanopore chip separates two chambers of salt solution and voltage is applied across the nanopore while the ion conductance is monitored using a voltage-clamp amplifier. This device is positioned to separate two chambers of 1 M KCl solution across which a bias of up to 1 V is applied. The ion conductance is then monitored using a voltage-clamp amplifier. (b) Optical image of the resulting nanopore chip with a glass hole diameter of 100 μm and Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane with a side length of 20 μm. (c) TEM image of a 7 nm diameter nanopore which was drilled into the thinned region of the Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane with the focused beam of the TEM. (d) A diagram of a model DNA translocation. As DNA passes through the nanopore, it blocks a considerable fraction of the nanopore volume and this DNA translocation event is characterized by its duration, Δt, and current reduction, ΔI. Different bases produce different residual currents, allowing for the determination of ΔI$\text{contrast}$, the difference between the current reductions of the different bases. Noise in the ionic current creates a broadened distribution in the current histograms.

Figure 1. (a) Schematic of the stacked nanopore chip with dimensions indicated. A 500 μm thick silicon chip is covered with 5 μm of silicon dioxide onto which 100 nm of Si$_3$N$_4$ is deposited. The chips are etched to create a suspended Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane window with side length ranging from 10 to 40 μm. A reactive ion etch is then used to thin the portion of the membrane into which the pore will be drilled. A 100 or 100 μm diameter laser-drilled hole, is placed on top of the Si$_3$N$_4$ using a micromanipulator setup and attached with an acrylic adhesive. Finally, a silicone elastomer is hand-painted on top of the glass and over the whole ~5 × 5 mm membrane-facing side of the chip, leaving an exposed glass area of less than 0.25 mm$^2$ around the nanopore. Finally a nanopore of diameter ~2−8 nm is drilled in the thinned region of the membrane using a focused TEM beam. This device is positioned to separate two chambers of 1 M KCl solution across which a bias of up to 1 V is applied. The ion conductance is then monitored using a voltage-clamp amplifier. (b) Optical image of the resulting nanopore chip with a glass hole diameter of 100 μm and Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane with a side length of 20 μm. (c) TEM image of a 7 nm diameter nanopore which was drilled into the thinned region of the Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane with the focused beam of the TEM. (d) A diagram of a model DNA translocation. As DNA passes through the nanopore, it blocks a considerable fraction of the nanopore volume and this DNA translocation event is characterized by its duration, Δt, and current reduction, ΔI. Different bases produce different residual currents, allowing for the determination of ΔI$\text{contrast}$, the difference between the current reductions of the different bases. Noise in the ionic current creates a broadened distribution in the current histograms.
is a model of an idealized signal of a DNA molecule with two bases (e.g., ACAC) and demonstrates the process through which DNA translocations are analyzed. Figure 1d also illustrates the source of expected sequencing errors resulting from the signal overlap of current distributions corresponding to different bases, indicated in red. Insufficiently high SNR\textsubscript{contrast} can make it difficult to distinguish between bases, leading to significant base call errors.

The increase of the SNR can be achieved by lowering the chip capacitance. Figure 2a shows the schematic diagram of the chip structure composed of stacked layers of Si, SiO\textsubscript{2}, Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}, glass, and silicone. Five regions (labeled 1–5) spanning the chip structure vertically and contributing to the capacitance are indicated by different colors (left). The corresponding capacitor circuit model, where $C_{\text{SiO\textsubscript{2}}}$, $C_{\text{SiN}}$, $C_{\text{glass}}$, $C_{\text{thin}}$, and $C_{\text{Silic}}$ are capacitances of the individual layers (SiO\textsubscript{2}, SiN, glass, thinned SiN and silicone) within each region, are shown. (b) Two-dimensional contour plot of chip capacitance as a function of glass thickness and glass hole diameter for a constant Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} membrane side length of 10 μm. (c) Bar graph of chip capacitance for membrane side lengths of 10, 20, 30, and 40 μm, showing relative contributions from regions 1 to 5.

Figure 3. (a) Input-referred noise power spectral density (PSD) for two glass-passivated nanopore chips with membrane capacitances of 3.3 and 7.1 pF. The PSDs for an unpassivated chip (with $C_{\text{chip}} = 48.6$ pF) and the open-headstage amplifier are also shown. (b) $I_{\text{rms}}$ calculated from the data in part a, as a function of signal bandwidth showing increase in noise proportional to capacitance at frequencies above $10^5$ Hz. (c) Measured ion current temporal traces at a 1 MHz bandwidth for several glass-passivated chips with capacitances $C_{\text{chip}} = 1.9$, 3.3, and 5.8 pF. There are compared with an unpassivated chip ($C_{\text{chip}} = 43$ pF) and an open-headstage measurement. $I_{\text{rms}}$ values are indicated. (d) $I_{\text{rms}}$ at 1 MHz bandwidth is shown as a function of $C_{\text{chip}}$. Measured values (black circles) compare favorably with values predicted from eq 1 (red curve). The open-stage amplifier noise of 110 pA at 1 MHz is also indicated by a horizontal black dashed line. For comparison, the blue and green dashed lines show the expected $I_{\text{rms}}$ vs $C_{\text{chip}}$ for an assumed amplifier design with $v_n = 1$ nV/(Hz\textsuperscript{1/2}) and $C_{\text{amp}} = 1$ pF and an idealized case of $C_{\text{amp}} = 0$ pF, respectively. The inset shows $I_{\text{rms}}$ at 1 kHz bandwidth (green circles). This low-frequency noise is independent of capacitance.
chip structure and the corresponding constituent elements that contribute to chip capacitance, $C_{\text{chip}}$ is estimated by decomposing the structure into sections of equal thickness that add in parallel to give the total chip capacitance. Each of these sections is then further decomposed into layers of different dielectrics (glass, Si$_3$N$_4$, silicone elastomer) that can be described as capacitors in series. The right panel in Figure 2a additionally includes $C_{\text{amp}}$ and $C_w$ ($\sim$0.4 pF from the short external wiring).

Figure 2b shows the calculated $C_{\text{chip}}$ using the model in Figure 2a, as a function of the glass hole diameter and glass thickness for a fixed Si$_3$N$_4$ window size of 10 $\mu$m $\times$ 10 $\mu$m. Our experiment uses glass with thicknesses ranging from 100 to 200 $\mu$m and holes ranging from 50 to 100 $\mu$m. The smallest predicted $C_{\text{chip}}$ is 0.60 pF for a glass thickness of 200 $\mu$m and a glass hole diameter of 50 $\mu$m. At this thickness and diameter for the bonded glass, capacitance contributions per section vary from 1.4 $\times$ 10$^3$ pF/m$^2$ for Region 1 (the thinnest region) to 4.13 $\times$ 10$^3$ pF/m$^2$ for Region 5 (the thinnest region). The capacitance from Region 5 is negligible because of its small area, while Regions 1 (~58%) and 4 (~20%) contribute the most (Figure 2c). These calculated values of total chip capacitance are in good agreement with measured values. Chip capacitance is measured in a fluidic cell with the VC100 low-noise patch-clamp amplifier (Chimera Instruments, New York, NY) by applying triangular-wave voltages and measuring the resulting current response (see Supporting Information S1).

Figure 3a shows the input-referred power spectral density (PSD), $S_i(f)$, of the ion current noise as a function of bandwidth for two representative chips with $C_{\text{chip}}$ of 3.3 pF and 7.1 pF, along with a chip without bonded glass which presents a capacitance of 48.6 pF. For comparison, we also show here the open-headstage noise spectrum. The PSDs have the form

$$S_i(f) = a_0f^{-1} + a_0 + a_1f + a_2f^2$$

where $C_T = C_{\text{amp}} + C_{\text{chip}} + C_w$. In the low-frequency regime (<1 kHz), the 1/$f$ noise in the ionic conductance of the nanopore itself is dominant. Between 1 kHz and 20 kHz, the noise spectrum is dominated by the second term representing the white noise in the pore and amplifier. The third term is primarily attributed to dielectric losses in the membrane. The last term represents the amplifier’s input-referred thermal noise $v_T$, acting through $C_T$ giving an $f^2$-dependence beyond 20 kHz ($S_i(f) = 2\pi f \tau T v_n^2$), which dominates high-bandwidth noise floors. Figure 3b shows the integrated $I_{\text{rms}}$ as a function of bandwidth corresponding to data in Figure 3a. Figure 3c shows the ion current noise, $I_{\text{rms}}$, as a function of time measured through several nanopores drilled in glass covered chips with $C_{\text{chip}} = 1.9$ pF to 5.8 pF, compared to the open headstage current noise and a standard nanopore chip with $C_{\text{chip}} = 43$ pF. The open pore ion current through the nanopores was rescaled to zero for all pores. As shown, $I_{\text{rms}}$ approaches the amplifier noise limit of 110 pA for $C_{\text{chip}} < 10$ pF as the internal capacitance of the amplifier ($C_{\text{amp}} = 20$ pF) starts to dominate.

Figure 3d shows $I_{\text{rms}}$ at 1 MHz bandwidth as a function of $C_{\text{chip}}$ for all of the nanopores measured. The red line in Figure 3d is $I_{\text{rms}}$ calculated from eq 1 where $C_{\text{amp}} = 20$ pF, $C_w = 0.4$ pF, and $v_T = 1$ nV/($Hz^{1/2}$), showing excellent agreement with the data. The open-headstage $I_{\text{rms}}$ of 110 pA at 1 MHz bandwidth is also noted. Data in Figure 3d clearly show that we are now in a regime where noise is determined by $C_{\text{amp}}$ (and $v_T$). Further reduction of $C_{\text{chip}}$ at this stage will have a negligible effect on $I_{\text{rms}}$. The blue and green dashed lines show the expected performances ($I_{\text{rms}}$ as a function of $C_{\text{chip}}$) for future amplifier designs with $v_T = 1$ nV/($Hz^{1/2}$) and $C_{\text{amp}} = 1$ pF and an idealized case of $C_{\text{amp}} = 0$ pF, respectively. The inset of Figure 3d shows the integrated input-referred current noise integrated up to 1 kHz band, capturing the low frequency ($1/f$) contribution to the total noise. Even for chips with $C_{\text{chip}} < 10$ pF, this low-frequency noise is usually less than 20% of the total integrated noise to the 1 MHz band. Furthermore, this noise is completely independent of $C_{\text{chip}}$ being rather a function of nanopore cleanliness and hydrophilicity.

Figure 4a and b shows translocation measurements of 15k-base-pair-long dsDNA through the same 4 nm diameter nanopore drilled in 40 nm thick Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane at applied bias of 800 mV in 1 M KCl. Data are shown before (Figure 4a, red trace) and after (Figure 4b, blue trace) $C_{\text{chip}}$ was reduced by adding the bonded-glass insulating layer ($C_{\text{chip}} = 47$ pF before and $C_{\text{chip}} = 4.9$ pF after). The current traces are shown at both 1 MHz bandwidth (a 4M samples/s sampling rate is used) and filtered to 10 kHz bandwidth. The ~10-fold capacitance reduction results in a 3-fold reduction of high-frequency noise $I_{\text{rms}}$ from 615 to 183 pA at 1 MHz bandwidth. The corresponding SNR$_{\text{ionic}}$ is 19 at 1 MHz. The $I_{\text{rms}}$ in the low frequency range (10 kHz) is not influenced by the reduction in capacitance and remains the same in Figure 4a and b.

The histograms of the current distributions at 1 MHz bandwidth for the two different values of chip capacitance are shown in Figure 4c. The open-pore baseline current is approximately ~5.5 nA for both measurements (before and after glass bonding). While the open pore current can drift over time and change when the pore is reclened, in this particular case, it changes very little between the two measurements (~5%). In the 4.9 pF data set the noise is low enough at 1 MHz
bandwidth to distinguish three distinct peaks, two of which correspond to events in which DNA molecules pass in both a folded and unfolded states. These two states are not distinguishable in the 47 pF data set. Figure 4d–f shows selected events with multilevel structure at 1 MHz bandwidth (47 pF in red, 4.9 pF in blue). The events have different approximate durations, 5 ms in Figure 4d, 200 μs in Figure 4e, and 20 μs in Figure 4f. In contrast with the 47 pF data set where the levels overlap (red events in Figure 4d–f) and are difficult to analyze with simple event-detection algorithms, in the 4.9 pF data set the levels are easily and clearly distinguishable (blue events), even for events as short as 5 μs.

Figure 5 shows ion current traces and associated current histograms for three additional nanopores measured under the same conditions as those in Figure 4. Nanopore diameters are between 5 and 8 nm, and nanopore chips are glass-passivated, leading to $C_{\text{chip}}$ values between 1.7 and 5.4 pF. 1 MHz (blue trace) and 10 kHz (red trace) bandwidth measurements are shown. Corresponding all-points current histograms are between 5 and 8 nm, and nanopore chips are glass-passivated, leading to $C_{\text{chip}}$ values between 1.7 and 5.4 pF. 1 MHz (blue trace) and 10 kHz (red trace) bandwidth measurements are shown. Corresponding all-points current histograms are shown at 1 MHz bandwidth. Specific nanopore diameter, applied voltage, resulting $I_{\text{rms}}$ and SNR$_{\text{ionic}}$ at 1 MHz are indicated in the table. SNR$_{\text{ionic}}$ ranges from 15 to 20 for dsDNA at 1 MHz.

![Figure 5. Ion current as a function of time during translocation of 15 kbp dsDNA molecules in 1 M KCl from three nanopores chips containing sub 10 nm thick Si$_3$N$_4$ nanopores. The nanopore diameters are between 5 and 8 nm, and nanopore chips are glass-passivated, leading to $C_{\text{chip}}$ values between 1.7 and 5.4 pF. 1 MHz (blue trace) and 10 kHz (red trace) bandwidth measurements are shown. Corresponding all-points current histograms are shown at 1 MHz bandwidth. Specific nanopore diameter, applied voltage, resulting $I_{\text{rms}}$ and SNR$_{\text{ionic}}$ at 1 MHz are indicated in the table. SNR$_{\text{ionic}}$ ranges from 15 to 20 for dsDNA at 1 MHz.](image-url)

amplifier input-referred noise ($\nu_0$) to be able to achieve homopolymer differentiation at 1 MHz. Recent literature has shown that thin, silicon-nitride nanopores give a difference in ion current between different ssDNA homopolymers of between 200 and 900 pA. The error rate in distinguishing between different bases, assuming a Gaussian noise distribution, is given by $1 - \text{erf} \left( \frac{\text{SNR}_{\text{contrast}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$. Using a 1% error rate and $\Delta I_{\text{contrast}} = 200 \text{ pA}$, $I_{\text{rms}}$ would have to be reduced to less than 40 pA. At $\nu_0 = 1 \text{nV}/(\text{Hz}^{1/2})$, this requires $C_T$ to be reduced to 7.5 pF. This estimate may be extended to find the capacitance required at 20 MHz, which would be required for sequencing at 10 Mbases per second. To achieve the same $I_{\text{rms}}$ (at the same $\nu_0$) at this higher bandwidth requires $C_T$ to be reduced to 0.1 pF (from eq 1).

In conclusion, by applying glass bonding, we have reduced the capacitance of Si$_3$N$_4$ nanopore membranes to as low as 1.5 pF, allowing input-referred ion current noise to reach amplifier-determined limit of $\sim 115 \text{ pA}_{\text{rms}}$ at 1 MHz bandwidth. Translocation measurements of dsDNA molecules in 1 M KCl, through sub 10 nm thick Si$_3$N$_4$ nanopores of diameter 4–8 nm, and applied bias of 200–800 mV, show SNR$_{\text{ionic}}$ of 15–20 at 1 MHz at these noise levels. We demonstrate that reducing chip and amplifier capacitances will be essential to further bandwidth enhancements of nanopore measurements. Improved measurement capabilities have the potential to complement or displace approaches which slow down translocation in DNA sequencing applications plying the way for human genome sequencing in sub 1 h timeframes.

Materials and Methods. Nanopore chips are built on a 5 × 5 mm substrate of Si onto which a layer of SiO$_2$ is deposited. A further layer of Si$_3$N$_4$ is then added. After the photolithography steps are complete, the device is cleaned in boiling piranha solution and repeatedly rinsed with water. C2-950 PMMA is then spun onto the membrane side of the chip, which is then patterned with electron beam lithography and etched with CHF$_3$, before being cleaned in piranha again.

A 100 or 200 μm thick glass piece with a laser-drilled pore is also cleaned in piranha before being attached to a micromanipulator tip using a vacuum. This micromanipulator is then used to align the hole in the glass with the membrane of the nanopore chip. Once the alignment is complete, a small quantity of cyanacrylate adhesive is placed onto the exposed surface of the nanopore device, such that the flow of the liquid leads it into contact with the edge of the glass as shown in Figure S4. The adhesive is drawn underneath the glass by interfacial forces, forming a watertight seal without covering the membrane.

The chips were then placed in the TEM, where nanopores with diameters ranging from 2 to 10 nm were drilled using the focused electron beam. We estimate a 10% error in determining the nanopore diameter; this error takes into account the fact that the shape of the nanopores is more precisely described as an ellipse, rather than a circle. The reported diameter is the average of the major and minor diameters. The resultant chips were cleaned using an oxygen and hydrogen plasma for 60–120 s to promote hydrophilicity. Chips are attached to a Teflon cell using a silicone elastomer (Kwik-cast, World Precision Instruments) painted on top of the glass and over the whole membrane-facing side of the chip (~25 mm$^2$ area), leaving an exposed <0.25 mm$^2$ area around the nanopore.

The cell has two chambers of 1 M KCl/1 mM EDTA solution buffered to a pH of 8 using 10 mM Tris-HCl. Our cell allows for fluid volume of 10–50 μL. Bias voltages of ~200–
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800 mV are applied across the nanopore using Ag/AgCl electrodes. Experiments were conducted using a VC100 voltage-lamp amplifier (Chimera Instruments, New York, NY), to apply the bias voltage and measure the ion current through the nanopore. The amplifier applies a fourth order Bessel low-pass filter at 1 MHz. Signals are sampled at 4–6 MS/s. The measured signals are then analyzed in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA).
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