Heterogeneous Activation, Local Structure, and Softness in Supercooled Colloidal Liquids
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We experimentally characterize heterogeneous nonexponential relaxation in bidisperse supercooled colloidal liquids utilizing a recent concept called “softness” [Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 108001 (2015)]. Particle trajectory and structure data enable classification of particles into subgroups with different local environments and propensities to hop. We determine residence times $t_R$ between particle hops and show that $t_R$ derived from particles in the same softness subgroup are exponentially distributed. Using the mean residence time $t_R$ for each softness subgroup, and a Kramers’ reaction rate model, we estimate the activation energy barriers $E_b$ for particle hops, and show that both $t_R$ and $E_b$ are monotonic functions of softness. Finally, we derive information about the combinations of large and small particle neighbors that determine particle softness, and we explicitly show that multiple exponential relaxation channels in the supercooled liquid give rise to its nonexponential behavior.
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When a liquid is cooled rapidly past a characteristic onset temperature, its dynamics become increasingly slow, nonexponential, and inhomogeneous [1–5]; this is the glass transition. In crystalline systems, successful theories of dynamics have been developed based on structural topological defects. The prospect of an analogous approach to glassy dynamics, premised on structural heterogeneities, is therefore appealing. For many years, however, no structural order parameter predictive of dynamics was identified, and until recently, it has remained unclear whether a connection between structure and dynamics exists at all [6–16].

Very recent studies have shown that data from molecular dynamics simulations or experiments can be analyzed with machine learning methods to infer a structural order parameter for dynamics in supercooled liquids and disordered solids called “softness” [17–23]. An analysis based on softness was applied to supercooled liquids, and was shown to simplify conceptual understanding of phenomena such as heterogeneous dynamics and nonexponential relaxation [18], history dependence during aging [19], and dynamics in thin films [20]. Additionally, softness correlations were shown to correlate with the size of rearrangements in simulations and experiments on supercooled liquids [22]. Despite these successes, the testing of the conceptual utility of softness has been limited to date to simulations. Besides imaging and statistical challenges, the chief hurdle in applying the analysis to experiments is that the approach has required data taken at many different temperatures to infer the many Arrhenius relaxation processes that coexist in the system.

In this Letter we apply the softness concept to experimental supercooled liquids. We corroborate the results in Ref. [18] and show directly that structure-dependent energy barriers may be ascertained from a thermal supercooled colloidal liquid at a single temperature. We then leverage the heterogeneous distribution of energy barriers to demonstrate that it explains nonexponential relaxation observed in the sample [24–27]. To avoid taking data at multiple temperatures, we determine the residence times between successive rearrangements of individual particles and show that the residence time distribution, conditioned on softness, is exponential with a timescale that is monotonic in the softness; multiple thermal activations characterized by the same decay time are associated with particles of same softness. We use the measured individual exponential residence times to derive the distribution of residence times of the entire system; this calculated system distribution is nonexponential and agrees well with experiment. Therefore, relaxation in our supercooled colloidal liquid is not intrinsically nonexponential [26,27]. Together, the measurements of relaxation time, softness, and energy-basin shape from particle trajectories in a single sample at fixed temperature and density, along with a Kramers reaction rate model [28–31], enable us to derive a distribution of effective free energy activation barriers in the supercooled colloidal liquid.

Finally, we investigate the connection between softness and local structure. We show that in bidisperse colloidal supercooled liquids, softer particles tend to have fewer nearby large particles. Our results demonstrate a direct connection between the local structural environment of a
Intermittency in particle trajectories is characterized by a residence time parameter, \( t_R \), defined as the separation between successive \( P_{\text{hop},i} \) peaks. To derive \( t_R \) from the \( P_{\text{hop},i} \) trajectory, we choose the threshold value \( p_{\text{hop},c}/\sigma^2 = 0.002 \) (Supplemental Material [32]) to distinguish local vibrational motion from hops. The probability distribution function (PDF), \( P(t_R) \), measured from all \( P_{\text{hop},i} \) is shown in Fig. 1. The excess in distribution events at the shortest times (\( t_R < 200 \) s) is due to the crossover of large vibrational fluctuations and small hops. The longer-time distribution (\( t_R > 200 \) s) is well fit by the stretched exponential function, \( P(t_R) \sim \exp[-(t_R/t_0)^\beta] \), with \( \tau = 220 \pm 37 \) and \( \beta = 0.85 \pm 0.04 \) (see Fig. 1). Note, dynamic light scattering measurements of hydrogel-particle glass formers found similar \( \beta \); smaller \( \beta \) were reported in more fragile hard-sphere glass formers [38]. Fitting \( P(t_R) \) with exponential form [i.e., \( \tilde{P}(t_R) \) with \( \beta = 1 \)] was also tested and found to be quantitatively worse, based on residuals, \( |P(t_R) - \tilde{P}(t_R)|/P(t_R) \) (Fig. 1) and chi-square errors (Supplemental Material [32]). Since hopping time statistics are directly related to dynamical correlation functions [39–41], the stretched exponential \( P(t_R) \) reflects complex relaxation in the supercooled regime. Though many explanations exist for the nonexponential process [26,27], early work [24,25] and recent simulations [18–20] suggest they arise when thermal activations involve a range of energy barriers.

Incorporation of the softness concept enables use of \( P(t_R) \) to understand the microscopic energy landscape in a deeper way. To this end, we follow Refs. [17,18] and describe the local structure near the \( i \)th particle using the following radial density function

\[
G_i^X(\mu) = \sum_{j \neq i} \exp \left[ -\frac{(R_{ij} - \mu)}{0.1\sigma} \right].
\]

Here, \( R_{ij} \) is the distance between particles \( i \) and \( j \); \( \mu \) is a probing radius from 0.4\( \sigma \) to 5.0\( \sigma \) in 0.1\( \sigma \) increments (47 \( \mu \)'s in total), and \( X \in \{l, s\} \) denotes the species of particle \( j \).

For the bidisperse sample, we utilize 94 \( G_i^X(\mu) \) to represent the instantaneous local structure of the \( i \)th particle [a point in a 94-dimensional (94D) hyperspace]. We next identify two groups of particles with “opposite” mobilities following [18]: “soft” particles are on the verge of a hop, and “hard” particles have the longest residence times.
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**FIG. 1.** \( P(t_R) \) measured for large particles. Error bars indicate standard deviations. The red dashed line is the stretched exponential fit \( P(t_R) \sim \exp\left[-(t_R/220)^{0.85}\right] \). The black solid line is calculated from Eq. (3). The blue dash-dot line is the exponential fit \( P(t_R) \sim \exp\left[-(t_R/300)\right] \). Inset (left): the relative residuals of both fits. The residual plot is cut off at 2000 sec; points at longer times exhibit even greater disagreement with the exponential fit, but obscure early-time data which have excellent signal-to-noise. Residuals are fit with quadratic functions as guides for the eye. Inset (right) shows a trajectory (black) of particle displacement, \( \Delta r_i(t) = |\vec{r}_i(t) - \vec{r}_i(0)| \), relative to an arbitrary reference time \( t = 0 \). Here, displacement is scaled by large particle diameter, \( \sigma \). \( P_{\text{hop},i} \) is calculated from the same trajectory.

particle, its dynamics, and the energy barriers it must overcome to rearrange. The experiments show explicitly that the combination of exponential processes that leads to nonexponential relaxation in our glassy colloidal liquid has its origin in structural heterogeneity at the particle scale.

We study aqueous experimental samples (see Supplemental Material [32]) composed of a monolayer of poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAM) hydrogel microspheres [33] sandwiched between two cover glasses. Observations are carried out by bright-field video microscopy (Leica DMR) with a 100 x oil-immersion lens. The video is recorded by a CCD camera (UNIQ 900DS) at 10 frames per second with a resolution of 1392 × 1036 and 256 gray scale. We utilize Trackpy [34,35] to track particle trajectories. The sample temperature is maintained at 28 °C by an objective heater (BiOptechs). The viewing area contains \( N_l \approx 3800 \) large and \( N_s \approx 4200 \) small particles [\( l \) (s) represents large (small) particles]. The diameters, \( \sigma = 1.2 \) and \( \sigma' = 0.9 \mu m \), of the large and small species, respectively, are obtained from the first peaks of the radial distribution functions, \( g_i^l(r) \) and \( g_i^s(r) \). The packing fraction \( \pi \left[ N_l/(\sigma/2)^2 \right] + N_s/(\sigma'/2)^2 \}/A \) (\( A \) is the area of field) is calculated to be 0.84.

Particle displacement trajectories are characterized by many intermittent jumps, rather than the more continuous random walks found in liquids. A typical displacement, \( \Delta r_i(t) = |\vec{r}_i(t) - \vec{r}_i(0)| \), of the \( i \)th particle is shown in Fig. 1, right inset. This motion exhibits idle periods separated by short-time hoplike relocations. To quantify these intermittent dynamics, we compute the particle “hop” function [18,36,37], \( P_{\text{hop},i}(t) = [\langle (\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_i(B)\rangle)^2 \rangle \langle (\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_i(A))\rangle^2 \rangle]^{1/2} \); here the angular brackets \( \langle \ldots \rangle_A \) and \( \langle \ldots \rangle_B \) denote an average over the time windows \( A \equiv [t - \delta t/2, t] \) and \( B \equiv [t, t + \delta t/2], \) respectively. We choose the hop duration parameter \( \delta t = 8s \) (Supplemental Material [32]). Notice, \( p_{\text{hop},i}(t) \) remains close to zero except when the particle hops to a new position; then \( p_{\text{hop},i}(t) \) exhibits a large peak (Fig. 1, inset).
FIG. 2. Two unnormalized conditional PDFs, $P(t_R|<S>_t)_{t_R}$, for $t_R$ with similar $<S>_t$. The red solid and blue dashed lines are exponential fits, $P(t_R|<S>_t_{t_R}) \sim (1/t_R)e^{-t_R/t_R}$, with $t_R = 400$ and 270 sec for $<S>_t = -0.8$ and 0.8, respectively; fitting is to the tails ($t_R > 200$ sec) of the conditional PDFs. Inset shows the overall PDF, $P(<S>_t)$. The solid line is a Gaussian fit. The subgroups that constitute the conditional PDFs are shaded with the same colors.

Utilizing only a few hundred examples of the softest and hardest particles, we carry out a linear classification analysis by computing the hyperplane (in the 94D hyperspace) that “best” separates soft versus hard groups using the support vector machine (SVM) method [42]. We compute two hyperplanes, one for large central particles and one for small central particles, to avoid misclassifications due to differences in their innate mobilities. We also explored and found that inclusion of bond orientation parameters did not significantly improve the accuracy of the hyperplane.

From the trained hyperplane, we compute softness values $S_i(t)$ of particle $i$ at time $t$, for every particle throughout the entire observation period. $S_i(t)$ is the normal displacement between particle’s local structure (corresponding point in the 94D hyperspace) and the best hyperplane. $S_i(t)$ is centered at zero and its distribution has a Gaussian form (Fig. 9 in the Supplemental Material [32]). Note, $S_i(t)$ exhibits different spatial correlations than bond orientation parameters [45], and its correlation length is approximately one particle diameter [Fig. 8(b) in the Supplemental Material [32]]. Indeed, short-range correlations of softness appear to be a generic feature of disordered solids [22]. The mean softness $<S>_t$ averaged during every $t_R$ interval was also calculated; by using the mean, we remove fluctuations due to particle vibrations, which amount to a standard deviation of $\delta S = 0.2$. The distribution of $<S>_t$ also has a Gaussian form albeit with narrower range (Fig. 2, inset).

To explicitly show the difference in local environments captured by the softness parameter, we report the local radial distribution functions during every $t_R$ interval. First we select all intervals that have similar $<S>_t$, for particles of species $A$ ($A \in \{l, s\}$); the total number of intervals selected is $n_A$. Then we compute the local radial distribution of $B$ particles ($B \in \{l, s\}$),

$$g^B_A(r) = \frac{1}{n_A} \sum_A \frac{n_B(r)}{2\pi r dr}$$

where $n_B(r)$ is the instantaneous number of $B$ particles in a circular bin of radius, $r$, with bin width, $dr = 0.05\sigma$, centered on the $A$ particle; $2\pi r dr$ is the bin area. $n_B$ is the number density of $B$ particles in the viewing area.

Figure 3(a) shows the measured $g_A^l$ for four different $<S>_t$. Note, large particles tend to form small crystalline domains (Fig. 2 in the Supplemental Material [32]). Thus the first peaks of $g_A^l$ are delta-function-like, and their heights depend inversely on $dr$. Interestingly, a monotonic decrease in the magnitude of the first three peaks of $g_A^l$ is apparent as $<S>_t$ increases. This observation suggests that when the density of surrounding large particles increases, the central particle tends to be harder and less likely to hop. By contrast, $g_A^s$ displays an opposite trend in the first peak [Fig. 3(b)] suggesting that a softer environment is created by increasing surrounding small particle density. Figure 3(c) shows the numbers of large $(N_l)$ and small neighbors $(N_s)$ within the radial distance $r = 1.2\sigma$ from the central large particle. These results suggest that one can make a particle softer in a binary system by replacing neighboring large species with small ones. Note, $g_A^l$, $g_A^s$, $N_l^l$, and $N_s^l$ of small central particles exhibited similar trends (Fig. 10 in Supplemental Material [32]).

We next group the $t_R$ intervals from the same particle species by similar $<S>_t$ to derive the conditional PDF, $P(t_R|<S>_t_{t_R})$. Interestingly, $P(t_R|<S>_t_{t_R}) = (1/t_R)e^{-t_R/t_R}$ has an exponential form; $t_R$ is the mean residence time averaged over the same-softness subgroup. Figure 2 shows the exponential distributions of $P(t_R|<S>_t_{t_R})$ for two large particle subgroups. Their mean softness are $<S>_t = -0.8 \pm 0.2$ and $0.8 \pm 0.2$ and corresponding mean residence times.
are $\bar{t}_R = 400$ and 270 sec, respectively. A correlation between hopping rate ($1/\bar{t}_R$) and softness was also reported in a 3D Lennard-Jones simulation [18].

The different exponential $P(t_R|\langle S \rangle_{t_R})$ provide direct experimental evidence for coexistence of multiple activation processes in the supercooled colloidal liquid. We measured $t_R$ versus $\langle S \rangle_{t_R}$ for both species. These functions are well described (Fig. 4) by quadratic (Supplemental Material [32]) and exponential [$\bar{t}_R = \bar{t}_{R0} \exp(b_{\langle S \rangle_{t_R}})$] forms. Numerical fitting gives $\bar{t}_{R0} = 332$, and $b = -0.22$ for large particles, and $\bar{t}_{R0} = 186$, and $b = -0.26$ for small ones. The prefactor $\bar{t}_{R0}$ is the $\bar{t}_R$ at zero softness $\langle S \rangle_{t_R} = 0$.

Using the measured $P(t_R|\langle S \rangle_{t_R})$, and $P(\langle S \rangle_{t_R})$, we can readily compute the unnormalized $P(t_R)$ for the entire supercooled liquid sample, as a superposition of different relaxation channels distinguished by $\langle S \rangle_{t_R}$:

$$P(t_R) \equiv H W \int_{\infty}^{\infty} d(\langle S \rangle_{t_R}) P(\langle S \rangle_{t_R}) P(t_R|\langle S \rangle_{t_R}).$$

Here $H$ is the total number of $t_R$s, and $W$ is the bin width of $P(t_R)$. The calculated $P(t_R)$ accurately reproduces measurements (black line, Fig. 1), thereby experimentally demonstrating the origin of nonexponential relaxation in supercooled liquids with single particle resolution [14–16,26]. In addition, data from a sample at higher packing fraction (Supplemental Material [32]) confirmed that $P(t_R)$ is more stretched in more strongly supercooled samples and that the decomposition into exponential channels is still valid.

Finally, we estimate the activation energy barriers and distribution using measurements and a Kramers’ reaction rate model [31,46]. Here the activation energy can be understood as the dynamic free energy due to direct interactions and entropy from neighboring particles [47–49]. The inset in Fig. 5(a) schematically shows the dynamic free energy $U(x)$, where $x_0$ and $x_b$ are the metastable and transition states along the reaction coordinate $x$, respectively. The barrier height is defined as

$$E_b \equiv U(x_b) - U(x_0).$$

In the limit $E_b/k_BT \gg 1$, $E_b$ is related to the mean residence time $\bar{t}_R$ by

$$\bar{t}_R \approx \frac{2k_BT}{D_{sh} \sqrt{U''_{00} U''_{bb}}} \exp\left(\frac{E_b}{k_BT}\right),$$

where $D_{sh}$ is the short-time particle diffusion coefficient, $U''_{00} \equiv [d^2U(x)/dx^2]_{x=x_0}$ and $U''_{bb} \equiv [d^2U(x)/dx^2]_{x=x_b}$ are the second derivatives of $U(x)$ at $x = x_0$ and $x = x_b$, respectively. This equation has been shown to be accurate in colloid experiments when $E_b \geq 6k_BT$ [50,51].

To use Eq. (4), one also needs $D_{sh}$, $U''_{00}$, and $U''_{bb}$. For large particles, we measured $D_{sh} \approx 0.04 \mu m^2 s^{-1}$ at 0.1 ms, which is one-quarter of its measured bare diffusivity $D_0 \approx 0.16 \mu m^2 s^{-1}$. For small particles, $D_0$ was measured, and the same ratio $D_{sh}/D_0$ assumed. To determine the potential curvature, we assume the distribution of particle position during a $t_R$ follows the Boltzmann distribution, $P(x-x_0) = \exp[U(x_0) - U(x)/k_BT]$, and we approximate $U(x)$ around $x_0$ to be harmonic, $U(x-x_0) = \frac{1}{2} U''_{00} (x-x_0)^2$ (Figs. 14, 15 in Supplemental Material [32]). $U''_{00} = 3.2 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ N m$^{-1}$, for large and small particles, respectively. We did not measure $U''_{bb}$ due to statistics. Fortunately, $E_b$ is rather insensitive to $U''_{bb}$; we assumed $U''_{bb} \approx U''_{00}$.

We thus estimate $E_b$ as a function of $\langle S \rangle_{t_R}$: $E_b/k_BT = E_0/k_BT + f(\langle S \rangle_{t_R})$, with $E_0/k_BT = 12.8$, $f = -0.21$ for large-, and $E_0/k_BT = 11.0$, $f = -0.26$ for small particles [Fig. 5(a)]. These $E_b$’s are in the same range as simulations [18,49,52] when supercooled behavior starts to emerge. The mean barrier height for large particles is $1.8k_BT$ more than small particles; larger particles are more arrested in the bidisperse system [53]. Combining $P(\langle S \rangle_{t_R})$ and $E_b(\langle S \rangle_{t_R})$ results, we obtain the probability distribution $P(E_b)$ of activation energy barriers [Fig. 5(b)].

To conclude, we experimentally demonstrated that softness is effective in classifying particle-hopping frequency in thermal, supercooled colloidal liquids. Particles with the same softness had local structural environments similar enough to give rise to exponential relaxation with a single activation time. We further demonstrated that the measured
combination of exponential distributions produces the observed nonexponential relaxation behavior of the whole sample, and we estimated activation energy barriers and their distribution. These demonstrated capabilities in a thermal system represent first experimental steps towards exploration of thermal supercooled colloidal liquids and glasses, i.e., in a way that permits simultaneous access to key structural, dynamical, and thermodynamic information. Equilibrium and nonequilibrium studies under a range of interesting conditions, including varying density and fragility, during aging, and under shear should be possible.
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